

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/770,643		01/26/2001	C. Alexander Turner JR.	LEX-0122-USA	9470	
24231	7590	02/19/2003				
LEXICON GENETICS INCORPORATED			EXAMINER			
		Y FOREST PLAC 5, TX		LANDSMAN	LANDSMAN, ROBERT S	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647			
				DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/770,643	TURNER ET AL.				
ł	Advicery Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
L		Robert Landsman	1647				
ſ	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
1	THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 6_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
	(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:						
;	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	ere en				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected:						
Ι,	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a						
	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							
L U.S.	Patent and Trademark Office						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: though Applicants argue that the protein of the present invention is a caspr, and have provided post-filing references showing that the protein of the present invention is 99% identical to that of a caspr protein of Takeuchi et al. and that the functions of caspr proteins are well-known, Applicants have only alledged that the protein of the present invention is a caspr based on homology to neurexins and casprs (page 1, lines 1112 and page 2, lines 1-4 of the specification). Applicants have not provided any definitive evidence or statement concluding that the protein of the invention is, in fact, a caspr protein as opposed to another member of the neurexin family of proteins. Therefore, the rejections of claims 1-3 and 6-8 remain rejected under 35 USC 101 and 112, first pagragraph, for these reasons as well as for the reasons on pages 2-4 of the Final Rejection mailed 10/22/02.

GÁRY KUNZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600